## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 03-6331

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

DONALD WILLIAM ARTHUR,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Huntington. Joseph Robert Goodwin, District Judge. (CR-99-62, CA-01-16-3)

Submitted: June 13, 2003 Decided: July 9, 2003

Before LUTTIG, MOTZ, and SHEDD, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Donald William Arthur, Appellant Pro Se. Ray McVeigh Shepard, Special Assistant United States Attorney, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

## PER CURIAM:

Donald William Arthur seeks to appeal the district court's order adopting the report and recommendation of the magistrate judge and denying relief on his motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (2000). The order is not appealable unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1) A certificate of appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2000). A prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that his constitutional claims are debatable and that any dispositive procedural rulings by the district court are also debatable or See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 123 S. Ct. 1029, 1040 (2003); <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u>, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); <u>Rose v. Lee</u>, 252 F.3d 676, 683 (4th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 534 U.S. 941 (2001). independently reviewed the record and conclude that Arthur has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

**DISMISSED**